

Review of: "Proof of Concept typology: a method for classification of PoC activities according to a technology cycle timeframe"

Jian Zheng

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This paper still has the following deficiencies, please revise.

1. The writing of the introduction could be improved and it is recommended that 1.1. Study aims and research objectives integrate directly into the introduction, and a literature review consistent with the topic of this research be added in the introduction section or in the next section.
2. What are the advantages of this research method analysis of POC projects with the general method? It is recommended that the innovation points be reflected more directly.
3. It is suggested that section 3. Current state of research and design of PoCs be brought before 2, and that section 3 could be used as a literature review after revision.
4. A flowchart is proposed to visually represent the steps for classifying POCs in part 4.
5. It is recommended to write the conclusion section in more detail, not only to state the purpose of this research, but also to explain the contribution and significance, and to briefly state the research questions and key research contents at the beginning of the conclusion.