Qeios

Peer Review

Review of: "Long-Term Environmental and Human Health Impacts of Hazardous Waste Incineration: A Case Study in Catalonia, Spain"

Bernardo Sepúlveda[1]

1. Centro Regional de Investigación y Desarrollo Sustentable de Atacama, Universidad de Atacama, Chile

I read the article and can say the following about its main aspects:

I see no language issues; everything was easily understandable.

The tables are well-explained.

The content of the article is well-defined and properly referenced.

This is just a matter of structure: I would like to ask if the authors could make the tables a bit more vertically compact, for example, by removing unnecessary spaces. This would improve readability.

I have not reviewed the format because the journal's team usually ensures the article meets formatting standards before submission.

I must make it clear that I find the objective of the article very important, especially for countries like the one I live in. The conclusions are highly significant in addressing and analyzing topics often considered taboo and related to industry. The issue of incineration has been systematically banned for a long time, but I believe these results challenge that perspective.

It is understood that this work involves data analysis, which I consider a very important and current need, as we have accumulated a lot of data without ensuring its continued usefulness.

If there are flaws, I may have overlooked them; at least conceptually, I don't see any. The work is perfectly coordinated and presents an interesting reality.

I have no conflicts with this review and no ties to the authors, as I do not know them (I congratulate them from afar).

I believe that, since there are no language or conceptual issues, this work should be considered for publication as it stands. I would like to know when it is published.

If additional or further observations are necessary, please let me know.

Thank you."

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.