

Review of: ""Sex" and "gender" as constitutional categories — Critical notes from iusfeminist legal dogmatics"

Giorgia Baldi¹

¹ University of Sussex

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This is an interesting article which reiterate a feminists juridical point about the necessity to apply gender lens when reading the law.

I have some suggestion which might improve the work:

1. In the abstract I think that this sentence shall be reviewed as it is unclear "Daniel Kahneman (2011), who in one of his works invites us to visualise two lines of equal size, but which, at first glance, appear to have a different extension." Maybe it can also be deleted.
2. I think that there is an issue regarding the structure of the text. In particular, in the abstract the author says that s/he will take into consideration Daniel Kahneman theory, but this has not been taken into consideration in the text. Also, it seems to me that the research questions do not really mirror the argument made by the author in the text (this also regarding the objective of the article).
3. An advise to improve the article is also to take a case analysis and show how gender narratives are reproduced through the law. This could also strengthen the originality of the article (the issue you are speaking about is an old one, and maybe if applied to a recent case could strengthen the originality).
4. I would spend more words in part 3 and 4 in order to make your point. At the moment, your main argument is in those points, so try to explain it better.

I hope that these advices will be helpful to the author.