

## Review of: "Fieldwork Studies Encourage Graduates to Revisit Studied Regions: Lifestyles of Young People in the Age of Mobilities"

## Craig Webster<sup>1</sup>

1 University of Auckland, New Zealand

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This is a well-written and interesting paper. I have only a few comments to improve clarity.

Title: "Age of Mobilities" seems like an odd phrase – do you mean the Age of Mobility?

Abstract (and throughout): What is a bedroom community? This seems like an odd phrase I am unfamiliar with – I believe it needs to be better defined or expanded on for the international audience.

Page 4: I thought the lists of Likert question items would be clearer in a table where the size of the Likert response scales could also more clearly be specified, i.e., 5 vs. 10 point scales.

Page 4, bottom: "The older the year of graduation of the respondents, the larger the number"? The larger the number of what?

Table 2: I think you should define PC1 and PC2 in a footnote to the table.

Table 4: Here you are talking about factors, but previously you talked about principal components? How are these two things different? Why use both terms? It would be better to keep terminology consistent throughout.

Page 10: In the first part of your discussion, I thought perhaps you should mention an interview study which shows complementary findings to yours, that those undertaking rural or remote training were more likely to return to practice in those areas after graduation if they felt part of the clinical team during their field work study time, see: Webster CS, McKillop A, Bennett W, Bagg W. A Qualitative and Semiquantitative Exploration of the Experience of a Rural and Regional Clinical Placement Programme. Med Sci Educ. 2020 Mar 17;30(2):783-789. doi: 10.1007/s40670-020-00949-6.

Qeios ID: 63MWLV · https://doi.org/10.32388/63MWLV