

Review of: "Are Pain and Mourning for a Pet and a Family Member the Same?"

Dennis Demedts¹

¹ Vrije Universiteit Brussel

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I have read your article with great interest and would like to provide my feedback. Overall, I find this a challenging topic that certainly needs to be discussed, and the article is well-written.

Title: Include 'narrative review' in the title.

Abstract: Avoid using abbreviations and referring to appendices in the abstract. It is important that the abstract is self-contained without references.

Introduction: No comments. This section is clear and well-written.

Methods: All articles in Medline are included in PubMed, so it is unnecessary to mention Medline separately. Did you conduct the selection of articles alone? Ideally, 2 researchers make a selection for a review.

Discussion and Conclusion: New information is introduced in the conclusion. It would be better to introduce this new information already in the discussion, such as the scale mentioned. This will ensure a more cohesive presentation of your findings. Furthermore, do not work with bullets in the discussion but prepare a cohesive text, supported by the used references.

In general: All items are in the text, but it has to be rewritten in a more fluent text.

New Peer Review

Qeios ID: 64MU7X

Review of: [Are Pain and Mourning for a Pet and a Family Member the Same?](#)

[Dennis Demedts](#)

Declarations



How would you rate the work overall?