

Peer Review

Review of: "EYpA: Cultural Integration and Adaptation of the Honest, Open, Proud Program for Use in People with Multiple Sclerosis in Greece"

Nazila Akbarfahimi¹

1. University of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation Sciences, Tehran, Iran

I believe this manuscript is quite thorough and comprehensive. However, I would have preferred the use of a quantitative criterion in the feasibility section. I think qualitative feedback alone is not enough to determine feasibility. For example, using self-efficacy and quality of life tests might be appropriate.

According to which translation method was cultural adaptation carried out?

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.