

Peer Review

Review of: "Truvry: Portable, Decentralized Trust Proofs for Inclusive Digital Participation and Democratic Decision-Making"

Muhammad Ridwan Arif¹

1. Managerial Accounting, Politeknik Negeri Ujung Pandang, Ujung, Indonesia

This article demonstrates a satisfactory research process. However, several points still need attention, as follows:

Firstly, the definition of a decentralized protocol needs to be clarified. It seems to declare why the protocol is decentralized, not concerning other kinds of protocols, such as distributed or centralized protocols.

The second point is the way to validate the system. For a protocol's validation, it is common that its testing framework incorporates the attribute of reliability. This is a critical quality metric that evaluates a system's consistency and dependability in performance over time. The systematic inclusion of reliability assessment within the testing methodology ensures that the protocol's long-term operational stability and robustness are thoroughly examined, thereby providing a more comprehensive and academically rigorous evaluation.

Thirdly, the abstract needs to state some keywords that are relevant to the topic. These are essential labels that summarize the core content of the work, making it easily discoverable in academic search engines.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.