

Review of: "Honorary Authorship in Biomedical Journals: The Endless Story"

Gengyan Tang

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This article is a short review of research on honorary authors of biomedical journals and cites the ICMJE criteria that anyone listed as an author should meet all of the above criteria. Unfortunately, the authors need to provide more details about their views. For example, the authors argue that "medical editors use a simple, standardized index of author contributions," so how should this index be designed? Do the authors provide their ideas? For example, the authors argue that "journals should accurately identify the role of each listed author to assess authorship of medical publications better." Still, some medical journals are already implementing this scheme, at least JAMA is. The truth is that none of this will make honorary authorship go away. This is because authorship contributions can be falsified and misrepresented. Authors should think about how to make the research process open and transparent so that the academic community can see exactly what is going on and what researchers are doing in it.

Qeios ID: 6DO10F · https://doi.org/10.32388/6DO10F