Review of: "New Terms for the Educators' Digital Lexicon"

Yousra Banoor Rajabalee

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I am of view that this article has no theory behind, neither has an updated literature analysis being conducted, nor any research questions. This article is also not supported by scientific evidence and needs to be revised. I'd also prefer to have a Research Methodology section, whereby you elaborate on your hypotheses, to give a clear indication of what you are trying to investigate and how you address your RQs.

This article introduces a new term to the digital language, which makes it really interesting. But my concern is whether there is still a group of digital Neanderthals in the modern educational system. However, it is quite difficult to demonstrate that there are still digital Neanderthals and digital illiterates in the field of education with generation Y and Z students, making it difficult to adapt to digital technology. As this is research that is drawing interests, it would be good if the author(s) could incorporate some literature/research on TPACK framework. I'd also advise the author(s) to try to maintain the flow by connecting each section/para to create motivation to improve the readability.

Best wishes in the improvement of your work!