

## Review of: "Conceptual Differentiation of Heat: The Entropic Promise of a Post-Pyrocene World"

## Amir Abbas<sup>1</sup>

1 University of Gujrat

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper has potential to be published in this journal after following major revisions.

- 1. What are the basic gaps that are filled in this research study?
- 2. In the introduction, references are few in number; increase it by adding more relevant studies.
- 3. Is it an original or relevant topic to the field, whose gaps remain to be filled?
- 4. How is this study compared to the previously published? Which issues are addressed in this one that are not in the previous?
- 5. Is the methodology used here new, developed, or extended from the previous one? If it is improved, then what are the basic improvements that have been carried out?
- 6. The conclusion is too long; reduce its size by highlighting the main outcomes of the study. Improve it.
- Try to add some more relevant references to enrich the study literature for clear justification: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csite.2024.104392">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csite.2024.104392</a>, <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geothermics.2024.2330993">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geothermics.2024.2330993</a>, <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geothermics.2024.102916">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geothermics.2024.102916</a>, <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csite.2023.103883">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csite.2023.103883</a>
- 8. The graphs presented here are not properly defined in a physical point of view. Authors are advised to explain the graphs with physical reasoning about the parameters and properties in which the variations are being observed.
- 9. What is the main question addressed by the research?
- 10. Do you consider the topic original or relevant in the field? Does it address a specific gap in the field?
- 11. What does it add to the subject area compared with other published material?
- 12. What specific improvements should the authors consider regarding the methodology? What further controls should be considered?
- 13. Are the conclusions consistent with the evidence and arguments presented and do they address the main question posed? Improve it.
- 14. What ranges of all parameters should be given? In the caption, mention all of the involved parameter values for which graphs are plotted; it will help the reader compare the parametric effects for the considered values of the parameters.
- 15. Write the future works in this study.

