

Review of: "Emerging Technological Advancements to Safety and Security Systems in Smart Urban Public Spaces"

Serin V. Simpson

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- 1. The paper provides a thorough historical context of CPTED, but it could benefit from a more concise introduction to maintain reader engagement.
- 2. The discussion of 3rd Generation CPTED and its principles is well-presented; however, it should include more real-world examples to illustrate its practical applications.
- 3. The objectives of the study are clearly defined, but it would be helpful to explicitly state how the proposed framework aligns with these objectives.
- 4. The research methodology section is comprehensive, but it lacks a clear explanation of the selection criteria for the three cases examined.
- 5. The article effectively highlights the challenges faced by smart security systems, but it would be beneficial to provide potential solutions or strategies to mitigate these challenges.
- 6. The three cases presented are informative, but a comparative analysis between them could enhance the paper's depth.
- 7. The proposed framework for a 'Mesh of Digital Security Ecosystem' is intriguing, but it requires further elaboration on how it addresses the challenges mentioned earlier.
- 8. While the article emphasizes the importance of community involvement in 3rd Generation CPTED, it should discuss any potential drawbacks or limitations associated with this approach.
- 9. The paper offers valuable insights into technology's role in urban safety, but it could benefit from a brief section on the ethical considerations of widespread surveillance and data collection in smart cities.
- 10. In the conclusion, it would be helpful to summarize the practical implications of the proposed framework and provide recommendations for future research in this field.

Qeios ID: 6GWA75 · https://doi.org/10.32388/6GWA75