

## Review of: "A Bibliometric Review of CSR in China Based on CNKI Database: 2006-2022"

## Shridev Devji 1

1 Malaviya National Institute of Technology Jaipur

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Authors,

We agree with your stance on the emerging importance of CSR; however, we have certain concerns over your manuscript.

- 1. The need to conduct the study is not justifiable, only because the concept is gaining importance can not be the apt justification for conducting a bibliometric analysis. There exist several other bibliometric analysis on CSR, how is your study contributing to the existing literature reviews?
- 2. In the methodology section, you mentioned that you have used "CSR" as the keyword. Is it the only keyword you have used? If yes, I sincerely doubt the coverage and scope of your topic.
- 3. In the result section, it seems that you have just reported your findings based on the output from the software. The "Why" part is missing in the analysis section. For instance, a thorough and rigorous discussion can be provided on why there has been decline in CSR studies from 2009-2010? Moreover, in keyword analysis, a proper analytical comprehension could be made to make readers understand why only these keywords are prominent.
- 4. The most significant contribution of a review paper is future research directions. Unfortunately, the section on potential future research avenues is missing in the paper.

Qeios ID: 6GX7OV · https://doi.org/10.32388/6GX7OV