

# Review of: "Cloud Computing Paradigm in Academics"

Canicio Dzingirai<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Namibia

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

Though the area and issue addressed in the paper are pertinent, there are many issues that need to be addressed to improve the quality of the study as follows.

1. the title must be catchy and speak directly to the uniqueness of the issues or extant literature deficiencies it seeks to address.
2. The abstract must include the research philosophy/paradigm, design and approach used or the study has adopted and/or adapted to. Brief policy implications from the research must be provided. The page numbers must be included in the document.
3. There is need to clearly and succinctly identify the existing gap provoking the need for such a study and how the study will address it
4. provide current literature debate to clearly show whether literature is diverging or converging on the phenomena being investigated.
5. **Literature review:** There is a need to provide diverging theories underpinning the study and existing paradigms and the weaknesses. It MUST be clear how paradigms link or are intertwined. There must be theoretical, empirical evidence and a conceptual framework anchoring the study. This is the section that must unambiguously show the polarization or convergencies as well as deficiencies in the existing literature which this study will address and how it will be addressed. This must also clearly show the need and justification for the approach/paradigm as a tool and solution to the identified global literature gaps. Such deficiencies to be succinctly elaborated can be either methodological, practical or literature or both thereby working as a scientific justification for the need for a model/framework or better paradigm shift
6. **Methodology:** There is need for a clearly state research philosophy(ies), design(s) and/or paradigm(s) and approaches followed in this study whose implementation must be clearly reflected in the analysis/discussion section. The strength of the methodological approach adopted must be stated with accompanying literature citations including most recent ones.
7. **Conclusion and Recommendations:** The discussion must be done as per to be stated research philosophy(ies) and/or paradigm(s) to be done in the methodology section. For easy flow of work, these must be done in an orderly and interconnected approach from introduction to conclusion and/or policy implementation section. If a thematic approach or content analysis is adopted, it must be clear from abstract to conclusion. Policy recommendations and/or implications must be specific. Currently it is difficult to determine their relevance to answer the research problem and study gap in the global body of knowledge since they are not clearly and succinctly stated in the study.

