

Review of: "Enhancing Corporate Cohesion with Ta'awun through Outdoor Activities for Senior Managers"

Jeff Mann¹

¹ Western Sydney University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This paper does not describe a primary research study with method/results/discussion, etc., and therefore is read as a literature review. This being the case, a wide selection of published literature needs to be included from outdoor corporate training and the related fields of corporate team building and outdoor learning. A good literature review paper will not only trace common findings but also critique previous findings. It is also important to describe what type of activities have been conducted in what context, as it cannot be argued that all outdoor activities are effective for all people in all settings.

From a structural perspective, I suggest starting with the 2nd paragraph on p2 (beginning "effective interventions..."), as it gives a foundation for the value of corporate team building activities with an older reference (1996). Continue with the next paragraph ("Expanding...") which introduces the value of outdoor activities, then your first two paragraphs from p1-2. You could then go on to describe trends in the wider fields of corporate team building and outdoor learning, and how these might relate to the specific field of outdoor corporate team building.

There are a few sentences that do not make grammatical sense (e.g., first sentence of the introduction, third paragraph, first sentence of the conclusion).