

# Review of: "Neurotherapeutic Comparison of Aripiprazole and Ethanolic Extract of *Fragaria Ananassa* on Cerebrum and Amygdala of Methamphetamine Intoxicated Male Wistar Rats"

John Adeolu Falode<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Federal University Oye-Ekiti

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

**Manuscript Title:** Neurotherapeutic Comparison of Aripiprazole and Ethanolic Extract of *Fragaria Ananassa* on Cerebrum and Amygdala of Methamphetamine Intoxicated Male Wistar Rats

## Reviewer's Comment

### Abstract:

The abstract needs an introduction; the author just started with the aim.

".....extracted ethanologically" should be rewritten.

The abstract was not systematically arranged. I think the author needs to be guided by the fact that an abstract is a summary of the whole manuscript, and thus, it must be so arranged. Starting with introduction, aim, methods, results, discussion, and conclusion.

So, I suggest that the whole abstract be rewritten and rearranged.

### Introduction:

Some tautologies were present in the introduction. I don't understand why the author(s) boldened some words.

Also, there was no justification for this study; hence, I wouldn't know what contributions the author wants to make to the scientific world.

### Materials and Methods:

This exhibits a very lazy way of scientific writing.

Be consistent, use either numbers or alphabets for the grouping, not the two.

There were no details in the methodologies used; it is important.

## Overall Assessment

Though the author(s) have a good work to be published, this presentation is not good.

The author might need to go back and study how scientific articles are written, how methodologies are written, how results are presented, and how discussions are made.

This presentation is very poor as it is.