

# Review of: "Recent Trends and Techniques in Landslide Hazard Assessment"

Deepesh Goyal

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I suggest major revisions for the manuscript.

Novelty and significance of the manuscript is completely lacking.

Abstract is poorly drafted. Abstract should be rephrased. Significance of the study should be provided in the abstract. Major observations and findings are also missing. One or two conclusive statements are also required at the end of the abstract.

Introduction section is too small. Try to elaborate on it and add some more references as well.

Results and discussion section in the manuscript is missing.

Manuscript comprises different terminologies like: landslide hazard assessment, landslide hazard, GIS, etc. I think all potential readers are well aware of these things. No new information is being provided.

Sources for figures (1, 2, 4) should be provided.

There are lots of grammatical and language errors in the manuscript.

In Figure 3, what is the role of cost-benefit analysis in risk assessment and management? Please recheck it.

In Figure 3, what is the difference between preparatory and triggering factors? Name some such factors in the figure to have a clear picture.

Figure 5 is not clear. Some arrows are missing or not correct. Please recheck them.

Conclusion is very general and vague.

Why have the others provided references under two different headings? Moreover, references are not in proper format.