

Review of: "Mycetoma in Animals a Review of Cases Reported From 1925-2022; Epidemiology and Management Strategies"

David Díaz-Regañón Fernández¹

1 Universidad Complutense de Madrid

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This is a very comprehensive review of reported cases of mycetoma in domestic animals over almost the last century. The manuscript is well written, and I would recommend it for publication after some minor changes based on the comments below.

Did the author consider including botryomycosis, along with actinomycosis and nocardiosis, when differentiating it from actinomycetoma (bacterial mycetoma)?

On the other hand, the differentiation between pseudomycetoma caused by dermatophytic fungi and eumycetoma may be more appropriate in the introductory section, rather than in the subsection on canine mycetoma.

Finally, check the grammar (e.g., some genera and species are written together (especially in the table), some capitalization where it is not appropriate, genus and species must be in italics. Unify throughout the document). I also recommend the use of a table footer with an explanation of the abbreviations.

Qeios ID: 6O6R8D · https://doi.org/10.32388/6O6R8D