

Review of: "[Case Report] A Rare Case of Carcinoma Erysipeloides After TNBC Mastectomy: Challenges in Diagnosis and Implications for Treatment"

Nikhitha Mantri

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Authors,

Overall, the paper presents an interesting rare case and adds valuable data to the existing literature. I appreciate the hard work put into the paper. However, minor changes are recommended from my end prior to publication.

1. Abstract:

- a) Provides a concise overview of the paper, emphasizing the challenges in diagnosing Carcinoma erysipeloïdes (CE) due to its rarity and nonspecific presentation. It effectively highlights the limitations of PET scans in detecting cutaneous metastases.
- b) Please update the full form for PET where the abbreviation was used.

2. Keywords:

- a) Recommend limiting the number of keywords to 4-5 as there are too many.

3. Background:

- a) Kindly verify consistency in the age of the patient mentioned across the abstract, background, and case report (43 vs. 44 years).
- b) Ensure appropriate updating of abbreviations throughout the paper.

4. Case Report:

- a) The case report can be made more interesting. For instance, consider providing more detailed information regarding the treatment the patient received for her initial breast cancer diagnosis, the timeline of development of dermatological manifestations post-therapy/surgery, the initial misdiagnosis received (as mentioned in 2nd paragraph), subsequent treatment, timing of biopsy consideration, and change in management once the diagnosis was confirmed.
- c) Consider relocating the second paragraph in the case report section (under Figure 1) to the discussion section. The paragraph is more suitable under the discussion section.
- d) Ensure that the site of the malignancy is mentioned in the case, corresponding to the images.

5. Discussion:

- a) The discussion is interesting and focused. This is just a suggestion, but it would be beneficial to the readers if the discussion included information about the management of Carcinoma erysipeloïdes in cases with underlying TNBC mastectomy patients, as there is not much information available in the literature.

6. Conclusion:

- a) Very well written and concise.

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to review.