

Review of: "Economics of Cattle Fattening - A Case of Bangladesh"

Tesfaye Alemu Tucho

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

General

- First of all, the data used for this article is old (year 2018), and by this time, there have been big changes in the livestock input-output market. Therefore, findings generalized from this study might not reflect the actual situation.
- It is a very interesting topic to read, and you gathered a lot of data and nice insights.
- The paragraphs are long, and there is truncated text, including in the Abstract.

Abstract

- The abstract could be more concise as it is quite detailed on the results part.
- It would be interesting if the keywords were in alphabetical order.

Methodology

- The methodology for determining the sample and the margin of error should be mentioned too.
- Here, there is a need to describe the representativeness of the districts or locations.
- What method did you use to select the interviewed farmers? How did you find them in the selected Upazilas?

Introduction

- The introduction part needs to be brief and more concise.
- Introduction must be rewritten in such a way that it looks brief in length but comprehensive in nature to justify the objectives of the study.
- The study lacks an explanation of its contribution to the existing literature, and it needs to be included at the end of the introduction section.

Literature Review

- The literature review section is long and not of added value in its currently described way.
- It lacks a modern style of writing and is majorly focusing on Bangladesh.
- What is the main message you want your audience to understand?

Results and Discussions, Conclusion

- Generally, the discussion is not sufficient.
- Unique findings and limitations should be discussed here with recent reference support.
- It would be good to discuss why >80% of the farmers involved in cattle fattening are below 50 years, as this also comes out strongly in your conclusion.
- The question of the difference between cattle fattening before Eid-UI-Azha and year-round could be better explored.
- Both the Conclusion and Results and Discussion presented little actual discussion, i.e., critical analysis of the results.
- The conclusion from the results is not always related, or the relation is missing.

References

- References used in the background and discussion are also backdated and need to be updated. Most sources are 10-20 years old.

Overall, the paper is well-written and well-documented. After incorporating the above-stated suggestions, the paper is good and should be accepted for publication in the journal.