

Review of: "[Commentary] Artificial Intelligence, or Artifact Intelligence? Most AI Is Not Ready for Practice"

Mihaela Flavia Avram¹

¹ University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Timisoara

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear authors,

I have read your commentary and believe it has some good points; however, I cannot but wonder who believes that artificial intelligence is really ready to perform on its own. Not even the laws are ready for it.

Comparing medical AI with Chat-GPT is unfortunate. I don't believe Chat-GPT is used in academic and medical settings right now; it is more a tool for the general population.

The title is generous, but the text refers only to diagnosis using images, while AI is far more complex. Also, you didn't mention at all the usefulness of AI in aiding/assisting physicians in diagnosis.

Taking into consideration the fact that this is a commentary and I don't know for what audience it was meant, I can't make many suggestions, but I believe that changing the title to clearly reflect the fact that it considers only imagistic AI autonomous diagnosis would be useful.