

Review of: "How many papers are published each week reporting on trials of interventions involving behavioural aspects of health?"

Elizabeth Kumah

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This is an interesting paper, which adds to the evidence-based on the number of behavioural studies published. The authors used a systematic approach to searching and reviewing the literature. However, there are some limitations in the process, which may lead to biased findings. It is not clear the specific inclusion and exclusion criteria that were used in conducting the screening. The authors also do not state the number of people involved in the screening, and why the search was limited to studies published from 2018. Also, only the PubMed database were searched, and the authors have rightly identified the limitations in doing this. However, these are all factors that can impact the validity of the findings. Regarding the results, I suggest the authors present findings based on characteristics like the countries included studies originated from. This way, the results can be interpreted taking into consideration contextual factors.