

# Review of: "Climate Change and Sustainability: A Comparative Case Study"

S.C. Sakapaji<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Hokkaido University

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

In the realm of academic research, the pursuit of knowledge and the dissemination of valuable insights are fundamental. However, it is equally important to uphold rigorous standards of scholarship, including proper citation, argumentation, and clarity in presenting research findings. Regrettably, the paper under review, despite addressing a pivotal topic in current sustainability endeavors, falls short of meeting the criteria for journal publication due to several glaring shortcomings. First and foremost, the absence of proper citations is a significant concern. Scholarly discourse is built on the foundation of acknowledging and engaging with existing research, and the dearth of references in this paper raises questions about the thoroughness of the literature review. For any paper to contribute meaningfully to its field, it must be firmly grounded in the relevant body of knowledge. Additionally, the paper's argumentative structure and substantive discussion leave much to be desired. An effective research article should not only present data but also contextualize it within a broader theoretical framework, engage in critical analysis, and develop a coherent argument. The lack of depth and critical analysis in this paper leaves readers with unanswered questions and fails to provide the intellectual rigor expected in academic discourse. Furthermore, a critical aspect of a journal article is the clarity and completeness of its components. The paper's introduction is notably weak, lacking the essential elements that set the stage for the research. Moreover, the abstract, which serves as a concise summary of the paper, lacks the key areas of background, methods, results, and conclusions, rendering it ineffective in providing a comprehensive overview of the research. In conclusion, while the paper under review addresses an undoubtedly important topic for the current sustainability landscape, it is not suitable for journal publication in its current form. A rigorous scholarly article demands proper citation, robust argumentation, and a well-structured presentation. Substantial revisions are necessary to elevate this paper to a standard deserving of serious consideration for journal acceptance and publication.