

## Review of: "Intelligent Transportation System Real-Time Tracking"

Irfan Ullah<sup>1</sup>

1 Dalian University of Technology

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This paper focused on Intelligent Transportation System Real-Time Tracking. The subject matter of this manuscript fits the journal's scope, and the information included in the manuscript seems not to have been published in any other publication so far. However, it seems difficult to adequately evaluate the value of this study because the explanation of the significance of the study, the description of the interpretation and usefulness of the results obtained by the analysis, and the explanation of the model are insufficient. I would like to ask the authors to consider responding to the following comments:

- (1) The abstract did not summarize all the key findings. Authors need merge the shorts paragraph into one paragraph in the abstract.
- (2) Would you explicitly specify the novelty of your work? What progress against the most recent state-of-the-art similar studies was made?
- (3) The Introduction and literature review sections should be improved. It should be dedicated to presenting a critical analysis of state-of-the-art related work to justify the study's objective. In addition, critical comments should be made on the results of the cited works.
- (4) In the introduction section authors did not cite any reference. Cite 4 to 5 latest publications.
- (5) The main objective of the work must be written in a more precise and concise way at the end of the introduction section. Please carefully check recent literature and discuss/cite as you see fit and update your reference list, such as Modeling of machine learning with SHAP approach for electric vehicle charging station choice behavior prediction: Prediction of electric vehicle charging duration time using ensemble machine learning algorithm and Shapley additive explanations.
- (6) There is room to improve the research methodology for publishing in an international journal. Furthermore, the numerical experiments were insufficient.
- (7) Authors need to add some more latest publication
- (8) Authors needs to compare the result with previous studies
- (9) The conclusion section provides a lack of contributions to this manuscript. Provide the key features, merits, and



limitations of the proposed approach to clarify the precise boundary of the algorithms. The implication of the proposed method is also required.

(10) This paper is generally well written, but I found multiple typographic errors over the entire manuscript, including the equations. The authors need to proofread again carefully