

Review of: "Pain Assessment and Management in Children at Lusaka Children's Hospital: Nurses' Experiences - A Qualitative Study"

Irem Ayvat¹

¹ Hacettepe Üniversitesi Ana Sayfasi

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The introduction appears to lack a strong foundation and background for the study. It's essential to include a review of recent studies in this area and support the introduction with relevant references. This will provide context and justification for your research.

In the Methods section, there is a need for more comprehensive detailing. Address the following questions:

1. Clarify the methodological orientation that underpins your study. Clearly state if you employed grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis, or any other specific approach.
2. Explain the participant selection process. Elaborate on whether you used purposive, convenience, consecutive, or snowball sampling, and why you chose that method.
3. Provide insights into how participants were approached. Specify if the interactions were face-to-face, conducted via telephone, or through mail, and the rationale behind your choice.
4. Specify the number of participants in the study. Offer sociodemographic information about the participants to give readers a clearer understanding of the sample.
5. Detail the interview guide structure. Mention whether the authors provided questions, prompts, or guides for the interviews and whether these were pilot tested.
6. Define the duration of the focus group sessions.
7. Indicate if audio or visual recording was used to collect data.
8. Discuss how data saturation was determined, elaborating on the criteria used.

In the Results section, provide more comprehensive information about the data analysis process. Include details about the number of coders who analyzed the data and if any sub-themes were identified. Further elaborate on all identified themes. Also, ensure that the Results section doesn't contain references.

The Discussion section requires significant improvement. It would be beneficial to integrate the discussion with the background information you've presented. This will create a more coherent narrative and help readers connect the study's findings with the broader context.

As a result, it is evident that substantial revisions are required for this article. Addressing the mentioned points will greatly



enhance the quality and clarity of your research.