

# Review of: "PERSPECTIVE: Improving Measurement of Public Objective Knowledge About Hazards"

Nicole Kelp<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Colorado State University

**Potential competing interests:** The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

This is a strong review of the literature on methods for measuring objective knowledge of different scientific areas, which is a commonly used yet under-examined construct in many papers of risk communication, for example. The author does make a note of the potential limitation of valuing only scientific expertise, and the need for the perspective of expertise from other disciplines. I would recommend an explicit recognition of the need for inclusive approaches to understanding science, such as cultural funds of knowledge (a common consideration in education studies, such as <https://doi.org/10.1177/2381336919870805> ), Indigenous and traditional knowledge (such as [https://repository.upenn.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1172&context=anthro\\_papers](https://repository.upenn.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1172&context=anthro_papers)), or other ways of knowing and knowledge.