

Review of: "Improving the Integration of Epidemiological Data Into Human Health Risk Assessment: What Risk Assessors Told Us They Want"

Julio Sotelo¹

¹ Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. This review deals with the important issue of health risk assessment; however, the text is full of colloquial statements rare in academic reports (e.g., “risk assessors are widely dissatisfied with the conduct of epidemiology” (P1, p2, L2); “inexcusable” (P1, p2, L7 and 12); difficult to understand (P3, p3, L1-8); including inadequate statements “even worse than the latter,” “they do not provide any advice” (P3, p3, L6-7).
2. In Table 2, the numbers should be completed with the total number of respondents considered.
3. The text is too long and should be scientifically brief and explicit (e.g., P15, p2, L1-5); (P19, p2-3, L1-18).
4. I think that the whole manuscript should be written according to academic concepts.