

# Review of: "Necessity of budget deficit in a growing economy where people hold money and leave a bequest"

Maham Furqan

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper addresses a critical topic and leverages a notable approach to land on the conclusion. However, the write-up could be improved to a great extent in order to complement the theory and arguments. For instance, the abstract could be written in a more academic way and a 'what, why, how' approach (Explaining what the problem is, why it is being studied, and how it was explored to land on the final outcomes) can be helpful. The opening sentence of the abstract makes it less appealing to the general audience. The write-up could also use some sort of a grammar check to polish the overall language and spelling mistakes need to be corrected e.g., the first keyword on the cover page says "deficits" and the paper has similar spelling mistakes throughout that need to be corrected.

The paper could benefit from a stronger theoretical/conceptual framework as that can serve as a good argument for the study rationale. Right now, it is difficult to understand why and how the paper is adding value. A literature review section is completely missing and should be added to complement the arguments. Similar to the introduction section, the conclusion section is very brief. There is a need to add clear concluding remarks and mention other things e.g., study limitations, future directions, and policy implications. The methodology section could also use more arguments to qualify the method being used.

Overall, the idea is useful but the write-up could use more words to explain the points, build an argument, and complement the analysis.