

# Review of: "Toward the Realization of Nanogate Capacitors: In Search of Practical Advice"

Sagar Mothkuri<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Victoria University of Wellington

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

Overall, the article is impressive and scientifically commendable. The extent of scientific depth and its commercial point of view is applaudable. However, the use of passive voice is suggested throughout the article, and pronouns should be avoided in a scientific context to keep it generic.

**Abstract:** It is suggested not to use possessive words like 'we'. Few other corrections have been suggested in the PDF attached.

1 ECaSS(R) - In this section, a few minor grammatical mistakes are highlighted in the comments in the PDF attached.

2 Nanogate capacitor - In this section, the non-aqueous electrolyte is mentioned as 'tetraethyl-ammonium...' while I only heard of 'tetraethyl-ammonium...' based ionic liquids. The suggestion has been made in the PDF attached. However, if the authors claim it's different from 'tetraethyl-ammonium...', the suggestion is to cross-check with other scientific researchers.

3 Possible use of the present ECaSS - This section has more commercial point of view discussion. It's a great discussion. However, few points in the penultimate sentences were not properly structured, and these are highlighted and commented on in the PDF attached.