

Review of: "Community-Led Development: Perspectives and Approaches of Four Member Organizations"

Piyapat Jarusawat

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

3.5 stars

This is an interesting article. However, I have some points that the author should concern to improve the work as follows:

The author brings up significant points in the community aspect which is well done. It is very interesting when the author points out the differences between the developed country and developing/underdeveloped country. So, the reader could see the limitations and uniqueness of each area/community.

Methodology - Approaching the NGOs is fine, but it would be better to ask/ interview the locals in the community themselves to gain the true perspective. Weak methodology.

1. Could you give more detail about the interviewee's competency or background? Why do you recruit them? Is that because they successfully run the community?
2. The analysis part is unclear.

Content - interesting topic! However, it would be better to compare if the proposed model could/couldn't be used in a different community.

Abbreviation - too many abbreviation words which lead to confusion and misunderstanding. These distracted the readers while they were reading. Some terms can state as full terms, for example, SNA, EC, EZ, PRA, and IPIC.

References - the references are not updated. Also, please check the right format through out list. For example, Contreras, R. (2010), 'Competing Theories.... (2010), must be (2010).

However, when I check through the link, the article is not state 2010 but it was 1999 and this article is one chapter from the book, isn't it? Better check the format and the accuracy of the source.