

Review of: "Acacia pycnantha gum exudates recognised as a traditional food in two countries may have economic potential"

Nayara Andreo¹

1 Universidade Federal do Vale do São Francisco

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The manuscript is easy to read; however, the research objective is not clear, and therefore, without a clear objective, it becomes difficult to understand the whole work. I suggest establishing a clear and direct objective. The materials and methods contain sentences and/or phrases that, in my opinion, are more suited to a review and informative section and therefore should not be in this section but should be placed in the introduction. I suggest describing the materials and methods more precisely, always correlating with your objective. The figure appears in the manuscript but is not referenced in the text. Regarding the results and discussion, when you describe that the AP collection was lower than the GA, it would be interesting to reflect on this result, looking for explanations for this difference. Were the collection conditions for both the same? Was it the same season? What is the difference in composition between them? The discussion is very concise, has little information, and lacks discussion. The conclusion contains information already mentioned in the introduction, which does not respond to its objective; it is information already known and which was not achieved after carrying out this study. Your conclusion needs to be correlated with your objective. To conclude, regarding the economic potential, I suggest a more detailed economic assessment for AP.

Qeios ID: 6Y6037 · https://doi.org/10.32388/6Y6037