

Review of: "Characterization of Workplace Violence in Healthcare Workers at an Emergency Room in Bogotá, Colombia"

Zahra Ebrahimi Rigi1

1 Iranshahr University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Reviewing the article about workplace violence against medical staff in an emergency room in Bogota was a pleasure. In light of the title and purpose of the article, it would be better if

Adding the keyword healthcare worker and keywords related to types of violence is unnecessary.

The only justification for the researchers to conduct the study is that no similar study exists in their country. However, they could have explained the reasoning more, such as pointing out the differences in context and culture, using a more comprehensive tool, Etc. Most studies indicate workplace violence is more prevalent in emergency rooms than elsewhere. Some objectives are incompatible with the study's primary goal, such as job security perception and quality of work life.

These objectives should be revised.

Correct the coherence and wording of the content in the method, and explain the method more clearly. The method section could be reorganized as below and includes the following sections:

Study design and setting (explanation of the research environment)

Sample size and sampling (how to calculate sample size and sampling method, inclusion and exclusion criteria)

Measurement (explaining all the variables being measured, the tools, validity, and reliability of them, as well as scoring

and interpretations)

Data collection (a description of the data collection process).

Data analysis (data analysis software, analytical tests).

The results need to be revised. In the results, all variables should be mentioned. Tables should be visually appealing and concise.

It is not necessary to address the search strategy.

Researchers could specify the statistical parameters and tests used in the table.

There must be an integration with prior work and its implications in the discussion section. Researchers should explain the main message and how it relates to other literature.

The conclusion should include a summary of the main findings of the study, which should be corrected.

The following limitations limit the generalizability of the study:

The sample size is small

Women make up the majority of participants

The majority of participants are students who have little experience in the field.



The article's general structure and presentation logic need to be changed significantly in the modified version.