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This is a nice definition. I love the 'long-term preservation' of it. However, I have the feeling that 'data' and 'results' are not enough. We could argue forever as to what is data and what is not, and perhaps this is why a unique, agreed-upon definition of Open Science does not exist yet. Is software code data? If yes, this definition is exhaustive. If not, I would explicitly add software code. And what about protocols? Tools? Physical samples, whenever possible? Methodologies? I like the definition, it's sweet and short, but perhaps a bit too short :)}