

Review of: "Recognizing Problems in Publications Concerned with Microwave Absorption Film and Providing Corrections: A Focused Review"

Abolghasem Zeidaabadi Nezhad¹

¹ Isfahan University of Technology

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Comments:

1-page3," reflection coefficient of the film RL in units of dB" must be replaced by , " return loss of the film RL in units of dB".

2-all over the paper," open space" must be replaced by, "free space".

3- in figures 1 and 2, permittivity and permeability of all regions must be shown

and r_2 does not show reflection from boundary $x=x_1$; it is confusing with b .

4- using symbol "t" for both time and transmission is confusing; authors can use "T" for transmission, especially in equation (7).

5-in page4," Beam f_1 is reflected back and forth in the film" is wrong.

6- all over the paper," attenuation power" must be replaced by, "power attenuation".

7- all over the paper," zigzag" must be replaced by, "front and back".

8- all over the paper," beam" must be replaced by, "plane wave".

9-in page6," the permittivity ϵ_r and permeability μ_r ", must be replaced by," the relative permittivity ϵ_r and relative permeability μ_r ".

10-in page6," amplitude of beam r_2 still possesses a wave form in Cartesian coordinate system", is wrong.

11-in page6," It should be noted "the quarter-wavelength theory" is not applicable to multi-layered film and many other cases such as film without metal-back [48].", is wrong.

12-in page8, line1, "where $k=l, r_1, r_2$ " must be added.

13-in page8," ... is the power attenuation coefficient and ... is the wave propagation coefficient", must be replaced by," ... is the attenuation constant and ... is the phase constant".

14-in pages 9 and 14," the reflection loss... "must be replaced by," the reflection coefficient ...".

15-in pages 10 and 11," device", must be replaced by "medium".

16-in page17," However, $V(r2, x1)$ can be larger than $V(f1, x1)$ and even larger than $V(i, x1)$, which seems contrary to common sense." Is wrong.

17-in pages 18 and 24," phase by π ... and $2m\pi$ to $(2m + 1)\pi$ and increases from $(2m + 1)\pi$ to $(2m + 2)\pi$ ", radian must be added.

In page24," When the reflection coefficient $RL = 0$, beam r has vanished by cancellation, and a standing wave is impossible" is wrong.