

Review of: "The Growth Performance of Nile Tilapia (Oreochromis Niloticus) Fed Low-Cost Fish Feeds Formulated From Fish By-Products, Fishery By-Catch and Pig Blood-Meal"

Carlos Montalvo¹

1 Universidad Autónoma del Carmen

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Revision of:

The Growth Performance of Nile Tilapia (Oreochromis Niloticus) Fed Low-Cost Fish Feeds Formulated From Fish By-Products, Fishery By-Catch and Pig Blood-Meal

- 1.- The hypothesis is well founded but the problem is that the references that support it are based on articles from 2002 and 2010, which must be updated based on articles from 2019 to 2025.
- 2.- bibliography: It is suggested that you update your references from 2019 to 2025 since out of 40 references, only one falls into this range (FAO, 2022)
- 3.- study area: it is suggested to put a map to locate the area well
- 4.- in the Experimental Configuration and Design paragraph.

Feed was fed to the fish in the form of pellets at 5 % body weight twice a day The experiment was done for a period of 11 weeks. to ascertain whether there were any statistical differences in the growth performance of fish fed formulated feeds and commercial feed.

For this one:

Feed was fed to the fish in the form of pellets at 5% body weight twice a day; the experiment was done for a period of 11 weeks to ascertain whether there were any statistical differences in the growth performance of fish-fed formulated feeds and commercial feed.

- 5.- Check the grammar and spelling carefully throughout the document, there are several mistakes.
- 6.- In the discussion part of the results, these results obtained should be compared but with more current references in order to question whether these supplements are better.

