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The diffusion coefficients around the pulsar $\gamma$-ray halos are highly suppressed compared with the value in the interstellar medium. It is suggested in the literature that the $\gamma$-ray halos can be explained by a ballistic-diffusive (BD) propagation without slow diffusion. However, our calculation shows that the BD propagation cannot account for the $\gamma$-ray halo profile well. Furthermore, the transfer efficiency of the pulsar spin-down energy to the high-energy electrons and positrons is even larger than 1 in the BD scenario. Therefore, slow diffusion is necessary to account for the pulsar $\gamma$-ray halos. Taking the slow diffusion into account, the contribution of positron flux originating from nearby pulsars to the AMS-02 data is reexamined. We may also expect a slow diffusion disk of the Milky Way as many such slow diffusion regions exist. The positron contribution to the AMS-02 data from dark matter annihilation in the new propagation model is also reexamined. We find that the dark matter scenario satisfies all the $\gamma$-ray limits in the new propagation model.
1. Introduction

In 2017 the $\gamma$-ray halos around the Geminga and Monogem pulsar wind nebula (PWN) were first detected by the HAWC collaboration [1]. The $\gamma$-ray halos are generated by high energy electrons and positrons accelerated by the PWN scattering with the CMB when diffusing away from the central PWN. The surface brightness profiles (SBPs) of the halos were measured as a function of distance from the PWN. Based on the surface brightness profile, the distribution of electrons and positrons around the PWN was determined, and the diffusion coefficient was subsequently derived. The most important conclusion of the HAWC observation is that the diffusion coefficient is hundreds of times smaller than the value at the interstellar medium, a determination made using cosmic ray B/C data [2].

Recently the LHAASO collaboration observed another pulsar $\gamma$-ray halo around PSR J0622+3749 [3]. The diffusion coefficient around PSR J0622+3749 is similar to that around Geminga. The observation confirms the conjecture that the $\gamma$-ray halos may be a universal structure at the middle to old age of a PWN [4].

However, if the slow diffusion is uniform in the Galaxy it will lead to disastrous consequences as all the predictions by the diffusive propagation model are wrong, as all the secondary products like B, Li, Be and $\bar{p}, e^+$ and diffuse $\gamma$-rays will be improved by orders of magnitude. This is certainly unacceptable. Therefore a two-zone diffusion model is proposed [5]. In the two-zone model, the slow diffusion region is a small region around the pulsar with a radius $r_\ast$. Outside of the slow diffusion region, the diffusion coefficient is the regular value. Therefore, all the correct predictions of the secondary products are kept unchanged as the slow diffusion region is only around the pulsars. Especially, it is found that the contribution of positron flux to the AMS-02 data from Geminga is enhanced in the two-zone diffusion model compared with the conventional fast diffusion model [5]. This is a happy surprise which has not been expected. The result makes the pulsar origin of positrons to account for the positron excess more feasible.

The question “What mechanism leads to such a suppressed diffusion rate of charged particles?” is a very important one. It was first suggested the suppression is due to the streaming instability generated by the electrons and positrons injected from the PWN to the surrounding medium [6]. However, the self-generated $\gamma$-ray halo is not powerful enough to suppress the diffusion coefficient to the observed value. Later this conclusion was proved analytically [4]. In [4] it is suggested that Geminga is still within its precursor SNR. As the expansion of the SNR, the shock waves interact with the interstellar medium and stimulate the magnetic turbulence. The shocked region in the downstream has strong magnetic turbulence and therefore a suppressed diffusion coefficient for charged particles. This mechanism explains the observed diffusion coefficient well [4].

Recently there has been a very interesting suggestion in the literature that ballistic-diffusive propagation may account for the $\gamma$-ray halos without introducing highly suppressed diffusion [7]. We reexamined this scenario and found the BD propagation cannot account for the $\gamma$-ray halos and slow diffusion is necessary to account for the pulsar $\gamma$-ray halos [8].

The slow diffusion region around pulsars has an important impact on cosmic ray propagation. We considered two aspects of the impact. First, we consider how the slow diffusion region around pulsars affects the contribution of positrons from near pulsars to the AMS-02 data and explain the positron excess [9]. Secondly, we consider a new cosmic rays propagation model with a slow
disk [10] and reexamine the dark matter scenario accounting for the positron excess in the new propagation model [11]. We find the dark matter scenario works well in the new propagation model and satisfies all the constraints on the dark matter annihilation by γ-ray observations [12].

2. Slow diffusion is necessary for pulsar γ-ray halos

Recently Recchia et al. proposed an interesting mechanism to account for the observed pulsar γ-ray halos [7] in the conventional fast diffusion propagation. The main idea of the mechanism is as follows. As the diffusive propagation of cosmic rays (CRs) is described by a non-relativistic equation, the most recent injected particles for $t < 3D/c^2$ transport superluminally. Therefore, the electron density around the pulsar is actually suppressed. Actually, the most recently injected particles should propagate ballistically within the distance around the coherence length of the magnetic field. For distances larger than the coherence length, the particle propagation is described by a diffusion process. Taking the ballistic propagation into account and later transferring to the diffusion process the authors in [7] point out the pulsar γ-ray halos may be accounted for in this scenario. The idea is shown in Fig. 1 clearly. The red dashed line shows the electron density distribution as a function of distance to the pulsar in the diffusion process, while the solid red line shows the distribution in the ballistic-diffusive (BD) scenario. The blue lines show the distribution in the slow diffusion scenarios. It is clearly shown that for fast diffusion the BD scenario has a sharp peak at the center compared with that in pure fast diffusion scenario. For the slow diffusion, the two scenarios have little difference.

We reexamined the BD scenario in [8]. In Fig. 2 the surface brightness profile (SBP) of Geminga (left panel) and LHAASO J0621+3755 (right panel) are shown for both the BD (green
Figure 2: The γ-ray profiles of the Geminga halo (left) and LHAASO J0621+3755 (right) taking $D_0$ corresponding to the two local minimal $\chi^2$. The figure is from Ref. [8].

Figure 3: Left: The minimal $\chi^2$ of best fitting to the γ-ray halo profiles as a function of diffusion coefficient at 1 GeV. Right: The efficiency of energy transfer from the pulsar spin-down energy to electron/positron pairs for different values of $D_0$. The figure is from Ref. [8].

In the left panel of Fig. 3 the $\chi^2$ for the fitting of the HAWC data for different values of the diffusion coefficients are shown. The red curve is for LHAASO J0621+3755 and the blue curve is for Geminga. The curves do show two minimal for small and large diffusion coefficients. For the slow diffusion, the $\chi^2/d.o.f.$ is nearly equal to 1 while for the large diffusion coefficient, the minimal $\chi^2/d.o.f.$ are about 2 and 4 for Geminga and LHAASO J0621+3755 respectively. Therefore, it is shown the BD propagation cannot account for the pulsar γ-ray profile.

The result can be understood from Fig. 4. The dashed lines in Fig. 4 are the theoretical prediction of the SBP for slow and fast diffusion while the solid lines are the SBP after convolution with the PSF of the LHAASO detector. It is clearly shown that the BD model predicts a much flatter profile after convolving with PSF since it diffuses much faster than the slow diffusion case.

Further in the right panel of Fig. 3 we show the efficiency of the pulsar spin-down energy transferring to high energy electrons and positrons to account for the SBP of the γ-ray halos. The efficiencies for slow diffusion cases are reasonable while it is too large for the fast diffusion cases.
Figure 4: Comparison of the $\gamma$-ray profiles for LHAASO J0621+3755 before and after PSF convolution. The figure is from Ref. [8].

Therefore, we draw the conclusion that slow diffusion is necessary to account for the pulsar $\gamma$-ray halos.

3. Nearby pulsar to account for the positron excess

In the HAWC paper [1] another important conclusion is that the positron flux contributed by Geminga in the slow diffusion scenario is negligible. This conclusion excludes the possibility that pulsars' contribution accounts for the positron excess [13]. This difficulty is overcome in the two-zone diffusion model [5] as described in the Introduction. The existence of slow diffusion around a pulsar has an important impact on cosmic ray propagation. In the following, we discuss two aspects of the impact, that is, the impact on an individual source and the impact on the Galactic cosmic ray propagation globally.

In Ref. [9] we reanalyzed the possible pulsar that can account for the positron excess in the two-zone diffusion model. In Fig. 5 we show the pulsar distribution with age and distance to the Earth. The red band is the age range in which the cooling effect leads to a cutoff at the electron/positron spectrum consistent with the observed positron spectrum cutoff by the latest AMS-02 measurement. The shaded regions show the proper parameters that are possible to account for the positron flux for the conventional case ($r^* = 0$), slow diffusion case ($r^* = \infty$), and for the two-zone diffusion case with $r_s = 50$pc.

By checking the pulsars whose parameters are in the overlap region between the red band and the shaded region of two-zone diffusion we find an ideal candidate pulsar B1055-52. In Fig. 6 we show the positron spectrum from different pulsars. We notice the spectrum from the pulsar B1055-52 with an age of about 500,000 years has a cutoff that perfectly fits the AMS-02 data. The spectrum from Geminga with an age of about 300,000 years has a slightly higher cutoff. Anyway, the calculation shows that in the two-zone diffusion model an older and closer pulsar, like B1055-52 ($t \sim 500$kyr, $d \sim 90$pc), is an ideal source for the positron excess.
Figure 5: Pulsar distribution as a function of age and distance to the Earth. The shaded regions represent the pulsars that can contribute more than half of the measured positron flux at 300 GeV, and different colors represent different diffusion models. The red band shows the age range corresponding to the positron spectral cut. Figure from [9].

Figure 6: Positron spectra from the nearby and middle-aged pulsars under the two-zone diffusion model, compared with the latest AMS-02 data. Figure from [9].

Note: During my presentation an audience gave a comment. He claimed the distance of pulsar B1055-52 was modified recently. However, we did not find such literature after the ICRC. A most recent paper claims the distance of B1055 is uncertain and 90pc is still a possible value [14]. Even if the distance of B1055 is determined different from 90pc in the future, this does not change our conclusion. In Fig. 5 we show the correct parameter region for pulsars which can account for the AMS-02 positron spectrum. Even B1055-52 does not fall in this region another pulsar in the region can make a similar contribution.
4. Dark matter annihilation for positron excess in the slow diffusion disk propagation model

After the discovery of HAWC, there were many works in the literature about the $\gamma$-ray halos. It is now believed that the $\gamma$-ray halos are generally formed in the middle and old-aged pulsars. Considering that 1-3 supernovas are generated every 100 years in the Milky Way with a pulsar lifetime $\sim 10^6$ years, there are about $\sim 10^4$ such slow diffusion regions with $r_s \sim 50$pc, which take a significant fraction at the Galactic disk. Therefore, we may expect the disk diffusion on average to be slower than that outside of the disk.

In Ref.[10] we studied this cosmic rays propagation model with a slow disk. By fitting the AMS-02 data of C flux, B/C, and Be/B by the MCMC simulation, the model parameters are determined precisely. It is very interesting that the diffusion in the disk is suppressed by fitting to data without introducing a slow disk in advance. Many features in the spectra of AMS-02 data are naturally explained. Especially the cosmic rays anisotropy are suppressed greatly in the slow disk model and are consistent with observations [10].

We reexamined the dark matter model to explain the positron excess in this slow disk propagation model [11]. The dark matter scenario has been studied extensively after the discovery of positron excess by PAMELA and AMS-02. However, previous studies show that the DM scenario is disfavored strongly by the $\gamma$-ray observations by Fermi-LAT and by the CMB observation by Planck [15].

In Fig. 7 we show the positron spectrum from DM annihilation into $\mu^+\mu^-$ and $\tau^+\tau^-$ final states taking the best-fit parameters. In Fig. 8 the contour is the parameter region that fits the AMS-02 positrons spectrum. The best-fit values are $m_X = 770$GeV and $\langle \sigma v \rangle = 9.3 \times 10^{-25} cm^3/s$ for the dark matter mass and annihilation cross section respectively. In Fig. 8 it is shown clearly that in the new propagation model the favored region of DM annihilation satisfies all the constraints from $\gamma$-ray observations.

The reason for the smaller annihilation cross-section in the slow disk propagation model is easy to understand. Because of the slow disk, the secondary positrons and that from dark matter annihilation are more confined in the disk. Therefore, a smaller annihilation cross section can
account for the positron flux measured by AMS-02.

5. Summary

In summary, we find that a slow diffusion region around the pulsar is necessary to account for the surrounding γ-ray halos. For fast diffusion, even if the ballistic trajectory is taken into account, it cannot explain the γ-ray halos neither for a good fit to the SBP distribution nor for a reasonable transfer efficiency.

Then we investigate the impact of the slow diffusion on cosmic ray propagation. We reanalyze the pulsar scenario to account for the positron spectrum on the Earth in the two-zone diffusion model. A close and old pulsar, like B1055-52, is ideal to make a contribution and explain the positron flux.

Furthermore, we study a slow-disk diffusion model considering the populated slow diffusion regions around pulsars in the Galactic disk. The model explains many interesting features in cosmic ray spectra measured by AMS-02. We reexamined the dark matter scenario to account for the positron flux in the slow-disk diffusion model. The dark matter annihilation cross section is much smaller than that in the conventional model and satisfies all the constraints on the annihilation cross section set by γ-ray and CMB observations. Therefore, the dark matter scenario is still feasible for positron excess.
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