

Review of: "Procurement Planning & the Rwanda Biomedical Centre (RBC)"

Petr Bris¹

¹ Tomas Bata University in Zlin

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

It is an exciting topic, but the study does not have a defined research niche. The statistics applied in data processing are elementary and are no longer practically used in professional academic outputs. The discussion section has little educational value. Data analysis is missing. The results and subsequent discussion are superficial and do not provide substantial insights. No conclusions were drawn. The abstract is insufficient; it should contain the conclusions reached by the expert study. The literature review mainly includes references to information sources older than ten years, a significant shortcoming of the study. The professional study does not sufficiently define its goals; the research's purpose is not clearly defined. One of the defined goals stated in the abstract (to establish strategies for solving problems faced in purchase planning in RBC) is not fulfilled - displayed, discussed, etc.

The article contains several claims not supported by a reference to the source, such as claims of existing poor procurement planning and its effect on RBC's performance or claims of discrimination and corruption in procurement. Why is the absence of an electronic procurement system not described and justified? Why is the specification of the requirements of public contracts and their potential suppliers not listed and explained, such as the organization's quality certification, the history of suppliers, their financial statements from recent years, etc?