

Review of: "Perceptions of Academic Dishonesty: Insights from the University of Tehran"

S. K. Mamun Mostofa¹

¹ University of Dhaka

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Overall, the article is good. But there are a few things that can be done in this paper for further improvements.

1. Especially the conclusion parts. It is better to avoid the citation of other works in the conclusion section. The conclusion should be the author's own discussion.
2. In this article, the conclusion part can be best fit as a discussion of the findings.
3. It is better if the authors include implications and future research scope of the study.
4. In the explanation of Table 11, the authors wrote the sentence,

"We will review the correlation of age and perception...." Is WILL the correct tense here; please check.

1. Another thing can be changed or written in a different way; please see the following citation for example;

(refer to Kapoor (2012), Havens & Lotz (2017)). : Authors used the same bracket here; please see the red marking.