

Review of: "Overcoming Barriers: Enhancing Women's Access to Financial Services for Agribusiness in Uganda – An Empirical Review"

Ayesha Khan¹

¹ North West Frontier Province Agricultural University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The title of the paper is good and interesting. The paper is informative and able to identify the barriers affecting women's access to financial services in agribusiness; however, the following suggestions can improve the quality of the article.

The topic is highly relevant, but it does not come out clearly from the information given in the manuscript; however, there is a lot of potential to make it a good one. There is a lot of repetition.

In the introduction, it would be good to cite the source of the statements/claims made, for example, the percentage of women involved in agribusiness. It would be better if information on the percentage of women involved in agribusiness and the percentage of those who lack access to financial services, etc., should be provided with authentic citations. The review does not identify the recent trends on the issue.

The methodology is incomplete and does not show how and why only these 10 articles were chosen. The rationale, criteria for selection, and reasons why only these specific articles were chosen are not even described.

The discussion section is weak and can be made more interesting by supporting your argument from other empirical studies/citations concerning the subject. The article needs statistical data to throw light on the situation of women in Uganda and, if possible, to compare it with the rest of the world.

The authors do not provide any information on the role of the Ugandan government in the efforts towards increasing women's access to credit for agriculture.

The recommendation is too general. There should be specific policy recommendations that will facilitate access to financial services by women in agribusiness.

It would be better to arrange the references list alphabetically and according to the journal's format. Also, the citations in the review of literature portion are not listed in the references. Add those to the list.