

Review of: "Examining the Comparative Effect of the Built Environment on Crime Prevention in Plotted Development, Especially for Women's Safety at Both Hot and Cold Spots"

Hocine Boumaraf

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I am sure a lot of work has been done, but unfortunately it is not reflected as intended may be. My comments are as follows,

1. The paper looks incomplete due to lack of interconnection between sections. The language has to be improved. Also, many things seem to be discussed but no connection with the data. Further the data itself is not presented along with a proper methodology.
2. While writing please make sure table, figures are close to that to increase the readability.
3. Table 1: the first one is 'Level 1 - Strongly Disagree.'
4. Please present your questionnaire.
5. The citations on many of the statements are absent, that makes the paper quite invalid to refer/cross-refer (check your introduction writings once more.)
6. Rewrite the abstract; it should contain the brief of methodology, data, methods, results, inference and conclusions very broadly.
7. In the paper you have always talked about 'identified parameters', I never understood very clearly on what you meant.
8. In the introduction section, give introduction to CPTED, define cold/hot spot, literature – past to present, further specific to gender (Female).
9. On what basis you have divided the area (Fig. 1b).
10. Please rewrite your methodology properly showing the whole process (methods used, etc.)
11. Rewrite the discussion sections adding your observations and citations on similar studies and observations.