

Review of: "The Efficacy of Copper Nanoparticles in Treating Viral Skin Infections: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis"

Rafael Álvarez-Chimal¹

¹ Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. The objective of a systematic review or meta-analysis is to condense information on a specific topic and evaluate the quality and methodology used in the research to serve in the decision-making process. This systematic review lacks an analysis of methodologies; therefore, it would not be useful when consulting to make a decision, for example, on the benefit of using Cu-NPs in the treatment of viral skin infections.
2. Did the authors use any guidelines to perform a systematic review (for example PRISMA)?
3. The authors do not highlight the novelty of this systematic review.
4. Why were copper nanoparticles chosen for this systematic review, which are less biocompatible than other nanoparticles with better antiviral properties, such as silver or ZnO?
5. Why are figures not included to show the results of the analyzed papers?
6. The analysis is very superficial; they do not delve into why Cu-NPs are chosen as an antiviral alternative for skin infections, nor into the antiviral mechanism. They make a brief mention of how they can be applied, but nothing in detail.
7. The way the article is structured is more in line with a book chapter than a systematic review article.