

## Review of: "Toxicity of Olea africana in Artemia Salina and Mice"

M.L. Kennedy<sup>1</sup>

1 Universidad Nacional de Asunción

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

General comments

The references used are mostly very old.

Section 2.5: review doses, 10, 100 and 100, correct.

Table 1 contains the same information as the text, it's like typing the document twice. Table 1 is not required.

The text that explains figure 2 should be simplified, since everything is understood with the figure.

Table 2, table 3: should the letters a, b, c and d be explained, what level of significance do they represent? In the table values of "0" are presented for sodium and potassium, it is not possible that the animals had those values. They must explain the reason for this value (error?, insufficient sample?, could not be measured?, etc), and therefore could not be compared statistically (how do they conclude that there is no difference?).

Both, in the tables and in the figures, the sample number must be included.

Qeios ID: 7I62O7 · https://doi.org/10.32388/7I62O7