

# Review of: ""Sex" and "gender" as constitutional categories — Critical notes from iusfeminist legal dogmatics"

Leandro Giri<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidad Nacional de Tres de Febrero

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

The article is certainly interesting and relevant, and even necessary. However, the prose seems to indicate that it is at an early stage of development, especially because of its brevity. In order to be accepted as an article by a peer-reviewed journal, it will probably be necessary to make it clearer how the research questions are answered and the stated objectives are met. On the other hand, at the beginning of the article it is stated that it will be based on Kahneman's framework (which is not taken up), and the analysis, which I understand to be essentially in points 4 and 5, is so synthetic that it does not seem to be able to address the concerns raised. On the other hand, from feminist theories the classical understanding of the categories of sex as a biological construct and gender as a social construct are now being questioned (in search of more fluid categories to accommodate queer frameworks), so I suggest that the analysis should be broadened to see if these new categories currently in dispute can be accommodated to the reality of the legal system in a broad sense. I hope my comments are helpful. Bests!