

Review of: "Can the definitions of SARS-Cov-2 and Covid-19 stand up to epistemological scrutiny?"

Dr Pradeep Kumar Rai

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Overall manuscript has very useful information and some specific comments are here as under:

Abstract

The abstract part of the manuscript organised well.

Introduction

The introduction and justifications are quite satisfying. The overview in the proposed area of study is optimally covered by the author; however, it would have been appropriate to add some of the most relevant and recent advances that have taken place in this area of research. The references cited in the running text are written following standard format in the bibliography section. Study relevant to this area of research which is associated with COVID-19 era are:

Pietrini, P., Lavazza, A. and Farina, M., 2022. COVID-19 and biomedical experts: When epistemic authority is (probably) not enough. *Journal of Bioethical Inquiry*, 19(1), pp.135-142.

Rai, P.K., Usmani, Z., Thakur, V.K., Gupta, V.K. and Mishra, Y.K., 2020. Tackling COVID-19 pandemic through nanocoatings: Confront and exactitude. *Current Research in Green and Sustainable Chemistry*, 3, p.100011.

Presentation and interpretation of data:

Clarity of expression: The matters used to justify the findings are clearly expressed.

Tabulation and summarization of data: Please add some phylogentic data sheet and summarized it in well order.

Illustrations in the form of Graphs, Histogram, Photograph etc: Please also add some photograph and taxonomic and phylogenetic tree analysis illustrations.

Language and Grammar: The candidate has made justice as far as the language and grammar is concerned.

(a) Correctness: The candidate has used correct English language and grammar throughout the text.

(b) Punctuations etc.: The candidate has also used correct punctuations and articles throughout the text.

The summary and future perspective are nicely presented. The matters used to justify the findings are clearly expressed.

After the above corrections this manuscript may consider for further publications.