Review of: "OpenAI ChatGPT Generated Content and Similarity Index: A study of selected terms from the Library & Information Science (LIS)"

Thomas Hanne[1]

1 University of Applied Sciences and Arts Northwestern Switzerland

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper deals with the significant debate regarding the impact of large-scale language models (such as ChatGPT) on questions regarding true authorship and the ethics of publishing.

The paper discusses a small study where ChatGPT was used to generate content on ten popular topics in library and information science. The results were checked with a plagiarism detection tool (Turnitin). As a result, an overall similarity of the ChatGPT generated output of about 13% similarity was found, which appears rather low even in comparison with authentic scientific texts.

The study provides some insight into the problem which is partially in agreement with other published results. However, a more comprehensive study would be desirable. Most of the paper are excerpts from the Turnitin output and a longer discussion of the findings would be desirable.