

# Review of: "Expectation of Life at Birth by Sex and Area of Residence in India and Bigger States/UT's (2013-2017): A Review"

Goran Miladinov<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Ss. Cyril and Methodius University of Skopje

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

*First review on the manuscript:* Qeios ID: RWUVFJ; <https://doi.org/10.32388/RWUVFJ>, Qeios:

Expectation of Life at Birth by Sex and Area of Residence in India and Bigger States/UT's (2013-2017): A Review

## Title:

It should be better like this: "Life expectancy at birth by sex and area of residence in India and bigger states/UTs (2013-2017): A review"

## Abstract

Expectation of Life at Birth should be replaced with Life expectancy at birth.

## Introduction

Expectation of Life at Birth (ELB) should be replaced with life expectancy at birth (LEAB). Some global trends and movements of LEAB as well as trends for LEAB in India should be mentioned properly afterwards. The contribution and research objectives/aims of the research work are lacking and need to be placed here as well.

Before the Methodology Section, the Literature Review Section or a similar one should be placed properly.

## Methodology

The data should be mentioned more properly and citations should be provided for each mentioned source. The used method should be mentioned as well as explained why it was used as a proper one. This Section is very poorly presented.

## Results

Expectation of Life at Birth should be replaced with life expectancy at birth. The data of LEAB should be presented as Table 1 and the source at the bottom of the table should be provided.

## Findings

Expectation of Life at Birth (ELB) should be replaced with life expectancy at birth (LEAB). Policy interventions should be

mentioned in the Discussions or Recommendations, not here.

### **Discussions**

Expectation of Life at Birth (ELB) should be replaced with life expectancy at birth (LEAB). The Discussions Section should be improved and based on the relevant literature findings on this topic. Listing the key considerations for addressing is more appropriate in an Action Plan or within the Recommendations. More insights from India results should be presented.

### **Recommendations**

This Section should be largely shortened. The recommendations mentioned here need to be targeted from the perspective of the objectives/aims of the research study. There is a lack of coherence between these mentioned recommendations and the research study as a whole.

**Conclusions:** Some of the points of this research are repeated more times throughout almost each of the Sections as well as in the Conclusions.

**To sum up:** It is a descriptive and explicative study. The whole improvement of the research work is needed.