Review of: "Acacia Pycnantha Gum Exudates Recognised As a Traditional Food in Two Countries May Have Economic Potential"

Amina Yusuf[1]

1 Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto

Review Comments for Acacia pycnantha gum exudates recognised as a traditional food in two countries may have economic potential

Abstract

-Generally, the author should consider using scientific terms when writing the manuscript

-The abstract did not capture the aim of the said mini-review

Introduction

-Correct to "The Acacia pycnantha (AP) tree, also known as the golden wattle, belongs to the Fabaceae family

-Correct to "E414, and it does not require a numerical acceptable daily intake limit (ADI); in addition, it is permissible for use as an additive in the United States of America up to a maximum level of 85%.

- Recast the whole paragraph: "depending on the rainfall in the growing seasons, with the trees deliberately cut or wounded to cause more gum to exude, increasing production

- Chemical composition - state what the constituents are so as to easily detect the similarities, and relate it to the pharmacological and nutritional value of the said exudates

-Note that for every review paper, there must be an aim, i.e., why the work is important. Thus, you should clearly state the aim of the manuscript

Materials and Methods

-A step-by-step procedure should be elaborately highlighted

-Were there any inclusion or exclusion criteria employed?

-How was the sampling done? Which method did you adopt?

-Procedure is very important, and thus you should clearly state it to allow reproducibility

Results and Discussion

-Avoid repeating the methodology in your results

-Focus on crafting your aim and objectives to allow you to present your findings well

-As you present your findings, you should also discuss the implications of your findings along

Conclusion

-The conclusion is vague and thus can be worked upon