

Review of: "Allergies In India: Myths, Misconceptions, and Awareness"

Rabia Aslam¹

¹ Government College University Lahore

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. The overall quality of the research article is poor. It seems more like an article as compared to research paper.

2. In section **"INTRODUCTION"**

- This section is too short and does not explain that what kind of allergies are there in India and how do different cohorts deal with it.
- What is the novelty of your research work?
- Express the practical implications of your study.

1. In section **"Materials and methods"**

- This section does not describe the study area selected from the entire India.
- This doesn't seem extensive study because one-year data was collected from only one clinic. How can observations or questionnaires filled from single clinic can justify the title the **"Allergies In India: Myths, Misconceptions, and Awareness"**. So, questionnaire data from more than one hospital/ clinic should be analysed to understand the people's perception about disease.
- 15 questions also do not depict the misconception, myths and awareness status of the public, add the questions about the home and work place environment, family history, age and gender specific information to better understand the mentality of people about allergy.

1. What are the limitations and strengths of your study?

2. In section **"RESULTS"**

- There is not any statistical analysis of the study.

1. In section **"DISCUSSION"**

- Overall discussion of the study is very weak, few references are given in this section.
- Compare your results with past literature.

1. In section **"CONCLUSION"**

- What kind of education or awareness programs can be introduced in society to improve the people's misconception about allergy.

