Qeios

Peer Review

Review of: "When Checkers Mislead: A Study of (Dis)information Flows in Fact-Checking Practices in Poland"

Raj Kishore Patra[1]

1. Independent researcher

The article appears promising; however, the use of data from only one month is insufficient to support a robust academic discussion. A longer study period, preferably ranging from six months to one year (theme-based, not all), would provide a stronger empirical basis for the analysis and enhance the reliability of the findings.

Furthermore, the study relies entirely on secondary data sources. To strengthen the methodological rigour, the authors may consider incorporating a thematic analysis component based on the content examined from fact-checking platforms. In addition, conducting follow-up interviews or discussions with relevant stakeholders—such as academicians, fact-checkers, and journalists—would offer valuable insights, validation, enrich the interpretation of the findings, and provide greater depth to the overall discussion.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

doi.org/10.32388/7R1M32