

Review of: "Participatory budgeting for public involvement in environmental sustainability at a Thai university"

Hazem Almassry

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The author presents clear and detailed data, with tables and sample quotations to illustrate the findings. He shows that the PB process promoted a community-oriented and thoughtful approach to choosing projects, as well as positive feelings among the participants and he also highlights additional concerns that some respondents had, such as the need for long-term thinking and avoiding "greenwashing". While the author provides a clear and detailed explanation of the concept of participatory democracy and participatory budgeting, he/she presents a real-life case study of participatory budgeting in education.

However, the author doesn't provide a detailed explanation of e-voting and its potential impact on participatory budgeting and the article is written in an academic style, which may make it difficult for some readers to understand. The author describes a specific case in one university with a specific language (Thai) and it could have been more useful if it presented more general information that could be applied to other institutions or countries.

The data analysis is limited to word frequency and collocation patterns, which may not capture the full complexity of the participants' feedback. And the sample size and the characteristics of the participants are not reported.