

Review of: "Why are there different versions of the COM-B model diagram?"

Sara Bru Garcia¹

¹ University of Sheffield

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Overall, the paper is easy to follow and it's written clearly and concisely. It makes a useful contribution because it directly addresses a common question amongst students and non-behavioural science professionals when they are introduced to COM-B: why are the relationships between the components represented differently in different diagrams?

My suggestions for revisions are:

1. The COM-B construct table could benefit from an additional column with a simple everyday example for each component, instead of assuming that the reader is already familiar with this or they understand from the informal definition.
2. The paper concludes that the simplest way of representing the COM-B model is often sufficient and has the benefit of being easy to understand, but it's not clear then when more complex versions may be needed, if they're needed at all. From a practitioner's point of view, it would be helpful to include examples of when different versions may be useful to help clarify this point.